



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

## SCIENCE:

PUBLISHED BY N. D. C. HODGES, 874 BROADWAY, NEW YORK.

SUBSCRIPTIONS TO ANY PART OF THE WORLD, \$3.50 A YEAR.

To any contributor, on request in advance, one hundred copies of the issue containing his article will be sent without charge. More copies will be supplied at about cost, also if ordered in advance. Reprints are not supplied, as for obvious reasons we desire to circulate as many copies of *Science* as possible. Authors are, however, at perfect liberty to have their articles reprinted elsewhere. For illustrations, drawings in black and white suitable for photo-engraving should be supplied by the contributor. Rejected manuscripts will be returned to the authors only when the requisite amount of postage accompanies the manuscript. Whatever is intended for insertion must be authenticated by the name and address of the writer; not necessarily for publication, but as a guaranty of good faith. We do not hold ourselves responsible for any view or opinions expressed in the communications of our correspondents.

Attention is called to the "Wants" column. It is invaluable to those who use it in soliciting information or seeking new positions. The name and address of applicants should be given in full, so that answers will go direct to them. The "Exchange" column is likewise open.

## OBSERVATIONS ON GOPHERS AND MOLES IN OREGON.

BY F. L. WASHBURN, STATE EXPERIMENT STATION, CORVALLIS, OREGON.

THE Zoölogical Department of the Oregon State Experiment Station has endeavored for two years to find some remedy for the pocket gopher and common mole found in this section. The first named, *Thomomys bulbivorus*, is a bad pest, and the decree has gone forth that our mole, *Scapanus townsendii*, is to be placed in the same category. Various traps purporting to catch gophers and moles are on the market, but few of them are reliable. Two, however, have been found to do very effective work in the case of both animals. Of poisons, powdered strychnine, introduced into pieces of potato an inch square and thrust down the burrows, has proved efficacious in the case of gophers in the absence of succulent root-crops. And small pieces of beef, poisoned with the same agent, have been placed in moles' burrows with occasionally good results, though nothing conclusive can be claimed for that now.

It is, however, of a few habits of the gopher, and more particularly of some interesting discoveries regarding the diet of the mole that we would here treat.

The pocket gopher has not a little intelligence. The horticulturist of this station reports finding a nest stored with potatoes, the tubers lying in layers, and each layer separated from the adjoining layers by more or less dried grass. The entrance to this nest, or at least one entrance, was from below, affording a perfect system of drainage quite desirable in this country of wet winters.

Again, it is a matter of frequent occurrence to see gopher mounds arranged in a straight line from that side of a field or garden upon which the gopher enters to some fruit-tree or potato, parsnip, or carrot patch, indicating that his main burrow beneath the surface has been pushed directly to these sources of food-supply. This main burrow, by the way, is from twelve to twenty inches below the surface, and has leading from it, at intervals, short branch burrows, which open on the surface of the ground and afford a means of getting rid of the soil excavated below. These branches are generally plugged with soil and their openings covered by a mound. The last one made, however, is often open, and the occupant of this underground retreat can frequently be seen protruding his head and disposing of the soil he has brought from the main burrow. As to the method of bringing out this soil opinions differ, some observers claiming that it is carried in the pockets, to some extent at least, and then thrown out of the pockets by movements of the fore-feet. Others deny this, asserting that the dirt is pushed before the animal and that the pockets are not used in this work. The writer has frequently secured specimens with forage in these pouches, but has never found them to contain earth, even in specimens killed in the act of excavating.

This rodent works during the night and is quite likely to be found digging early in the morning, again about noon, and again late in the afternoon. It is claimed that both the gopher and mole are more active, as regards digging, just before rain.

The nest of the pocket gopher is often found filled with camass bulbs, of which this animal is very fond, as much as a bushel of bulbs being reported as found in a single nest. When in the vicinity of gardens, however, more palatable food is found with which to store the larder.

The tender roots of young fruit-trees are, unfortunately, very tempting to these animals, and a dying cherry or apple can frequently be easily lifted from the ground, the root, gnawed completely through, showing the cause of its demise.

This leads to the subject of the mole's diet. Many, or most, of our scientists have united in defending the mole against charges of eating bulbs and other vegetable matter, and have stoutly asserted that the gnawed carrot, or parsnip, or crocus bulb, found in the course of the mole's burrow, was the work of one of the meadow mice. This is doubtless true. But that the mole occasionally, or possibly frequently, resorts to a vegetable diet must be acknowledged. A lady in Portland, Ore., quite sure that moles were eating her crocus bulbs, and feeling far from convinced of their innocence from the assertions of scientists, obtained three, which she kept in confinement. She found that they readily ate the following: Beef, mutton, pork, bread, wheat, pears, and peas. Unfortunately two of these pets were fed with worms taken from an old manure heap and died, showing symptoms of being poisoned. The description of these worms, as given me, answered to that of *Lumbricus foetidus*, and it was undoubtedly that or an allied form which caused the trouble. Evidently this species of the Oligochetæ does not figure, naturally, on the mole's bill of fare. The writer witnessed the survivor eat peas greedily, running his sensitive snout from one end of the pod to the other and taking out every pea. This was convincing proof that the mole, under some circumstances at least, is not strictly carnivorous, and it is quite likely that he is frequently a malefactor as regards vegetables and roots. Personal examination of stomachs in specimens secured in March, 1892, revealed nothing but finely triturated earthworms, insects, and insect larvæ. In one captured in January, 1893, the stomach contained nothing but delicate, fibrous roots.

Amos W. Butler of Brookville, Ind., in speaking of moles, says: "I have never been satisfied that the mole in sandy soil is not very destructive to young pea sprouts just as they are emerging from the ground."

Both gophers and moles are active here during the winter season.

A word as to the breeding season may not be out of place. My diary states that on Feb. 28, 1892, a pregnant mole was captured containing three well-developed embryos, and two days later another was obtained with two embryos, apparently within a few days of birth. March 28, 1893, a pocket gopher was secured containing four young embryos. All this indicates an early date for the first litter. Probably more than one litter is produced. From specimens of *Arvicolinæ* secured it would appear that the breeding time of the field-mice is contemporaneous with that of the other two animals under discussion.

## ONE OF THE GYPSUM CRYSTALS FROM THE CAVE AT SOUTH WASH, WAYNE CO., UTAH.

BY ALFRED J. MOSES, MINERALOGICAL LABORATORY, COLUMBIA COLLEGE, NEW YORK.

THE Deseret Museum of Salt Lake City sent last month to Columbia College two specimens from the remarkable deposit of Selenite, in Southern Utah, which was described in a recent issue of this paper.<sup>1</sup>

The larger of the two specimens was a portion of an evidently longer prism with very perfectly developed terminal planes. The specimen internally is colorless and glassy, but the surface is in part covered by a thin opaque layer. The weight of the specimen

<sup>1</sup> Feb. 17, 1893, pp. 85-86.